

TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE ON ABEL-GRASSMANN'S GROUPOIDS

KAISER MUSHTAQ AND MADAD KHAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we have discussed the ideals in Abel Grassmann's groupoids and construct their topologies.

1. INTRODUCTION

An Abel-Grassmann's groupoid (AG-groupoid) [3] is a groupoid S with left invertive law

$$(1) \quad (ab)c = (cb)a, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in S.$$

Every AG-groupoid S satisfy the medial law [2]

$$(2) \quad (ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd), \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in S.$$

In every AG-groupoid with left identity the following law [3] holds

$$(3) \quad (ab)(cd) = (db)(ca), \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in S.$$

Many characteristics of several non-associative AG-groupoids similar to a commutative semigroup.

The aim of this note is to define the topological spaces using ideal theory. Several ideals concerning the number of occurrence of topological spaces in AG-groupoids. The topological spaces formation guarantee for the preservation of finite intersection and arbitrary union between the set of ideals and the open subsets of resultant topologies.

A subset I of an AG-groupoid S is called a right (left) ideal if $IS \subseteq I$ ($SI \subseteq I$), and is called an ideal if it is two sided ideal, if I is a left ideal of S then I^2 becomes an ideal of S . By a bi-ideal of an AG-groupoid S , we mean a sub AG-groupoid B of S such that $(BS)B \subseteq B$. It is easy to note that each right ideal is a bi-ideal. If S has a left identity then it is not hard to show that B^2 is a bi-ideal of S and $B^2 \subseteq SB^2 = B^2S$. If $E(B_S)$ denote the set of all idempotents subsets of S with left identity e , then $E(B_S)$ form a semilattice structure also if $C = C^2$ then $(CS)C \in E(B_S)$. The intersection of any set of bi-ideals of an AG-groupoid S is either empty or a bi-ideal of S . Also the intersection of prime bi-ideals of an AG-groupoid S is a semiprime bi-ideal of S .

If S is an AG-groupoid with left identity e , and assume that $a^3 = a^2a$ then

$$(x_1^m x_2^n)(x_3^q x_4^r) = (x_{p(1)}^m x_{p(2)}^n)(x_{p(3)}^q x_{p(4)}^r), \text{ for } m, n, q, r \geq 2,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M10 and 20N99.

Key words and phrases. AG-groupoid, Anti-rectangular band, Medial law, bi-ideals and prime bi-ideals.

where $\{p(1), p(2), p(3), p(4)\}$ means any permutation on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. As a consequence $(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4)^k = (x_{p(1)} x_{p(2)} x_{p(3)} x_{p(4)})^k$, for $k \geq 2$. The result can be generalized for finite numbers of elements of S . If $0 \in S$, then $0s = s0 = 0$, for all s in S .

Proposition 1. *Let T be a left ideal and B is a bi-ideal of an AG-groupoid S with left identity, then BT and T^2B are bi-ideals of S .*

Proof. Using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((BT)S)(BT) &= ((BT)B)(ST) \subseteq ((BS)B)T \subseteq BT, \\ \text{also } (BT)(BT) &= (BB)(TT) \subseteq BT. \end{aligned}$$

Hence BT is a bi-ideal of S . Now using (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((T^2B)S)(T^2B) &= ((T^2S)(BS))(T^2B) \subseteq (T^2(BS))(T^2B) \\ &= (T^2T^2)((BS)B) \subseteq T^2B, \text{ also} \\ (T^2B)(T^2B) &= (T^2T^2)(BB) \subseteq T^2B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T^2B is a bi-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 2. *The product of two bi-ideals of an AG-groupoid S with left identity is a bi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((B_1B_2)S)(B_1B_2) &= ((B_1B_2)(SS))(B_1B_2) = ((B_1S)(B_2S))(B_1B_2) \\ &= ((B_1S)B_1)((B_2S)B_2) \subseteq B_1B_2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

If B_1 and B_2 are non-empty, then B_1B_2 and B_2B_1 are connected bi-ideals. Also the above Proposition leads us to easy generalizations that is, if $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots$ and B_n are bi-ideals of an AG-groupoid S with left identity, then

$$(\dots((B_1B_2)B_3)\dots)B_n \text{ and } (\dots((B_1^2B_2^2)B_3^2)\dots)B_n^2$$

are bi-ideals of S , consequently the set $\mathcal{L}(S_B)$ of bi-ideals form an AG-groupoid.

If S an AG-groupoid with left identity e then $\langle a \rangle_L = Sa$, $\langle a \rangle_R = aS$ and $\langle a \rangle_S = (Sa)S$ are bi-ideals of S . Now it is not hard to show that $\langle ab \rangle_L = \langle a \rangle_L \langle b \rangle_L$, $\langle ab \rangle_R = \langle a \rangle_R \langle b \rangle_R$, and $\langle ab \rangle = \langle b \rangle_L \langle a \rangle_L$, from these it can be deduce that $\langle a \rangle_R \langle b \rangle_R = \langle b \rangle_L \langle a \rangle_L$ and $\langle a \rangle_L \langle b \rangle_L = \langle b \rangle_R \langle a \rangle_R$. Also $\langle a \rangle_L \langle b \rangle_R = \langle b \rangle_L \langle a \rangle_R$, $\langle a^2 \rangle_L = \langle a \rangle_L^2$, $\langle a^2 \rangle_R = \langle a \rangle_R^2$, $\langle a^2 \rangle_L = \langle a^2 \rangle_R$ and $\langle a \rangle_L = \langle a \rangle_R$ (if a is an idempotent), consequently $\langle a^2 \rangle_L = \langle a^2 \rangle_R$. It is easy to show that $\langle a \rangle_R a^2 = a^2 \langle a \rangle_L$.

Lemma 1. *If B is an idempotent bi-ideal of an AG-groupoid S with left identity, then B is an ideal of S .*

Proof. Using (1),

$$BS = (BB)S = (SB)B = (SB^2)B = (B^2S)B = (BS)B,$$

and every right ideal in S with left identity is left. $\square$

Lemma 2. *If B is a proper bi-ideal of an AG-groupoid S with left identity e , then $e \notin B$.*

Proof. Let $e \in B$, since $sb = (es)b \in B$, now using (1), we get $s = (ee)s = (se)e \in (SB)B \subseteq B$. $\square$

It is easy to note that $\{x \in S : (xa)x = e\} \not\subseteq B$.

Proposition 3. *If A, B are bi-ideals of an AG-groupoid S with left identity, then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *Every bi-ideal of S is idempotent,*
- (ii) *$A \cap B = AB$, and*
- (iii) *the ideals of S form a semilattice $(L_S, \wedge)$ where $A \wedge B = AB$.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Using Lemma 1, it is easy to note that $AB \subseteq A \cap B$. Since $A \cap B \subseteq A, B$ implies $(A \cap B)^2 \subseteq AB$, hence $A \cap B \subseteq AB$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): $A \wedge B = AB = A \cap B = B \cap A = B \wedge A$ and $A \wedge A = AA = A \cap A = A$. Similarly, associativity follows. Hence $(L_S, \wedge)$ is a semilattice.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i):

$$A = A \wedge A = AA.$$

□

A bi-ideal B of an AG-groupoid S is called a prime bi-ideal if $B_1 B_2 \subseteq B$ implies either $B_1 \subseteq B$ or $B_2 \subseteq B$ for every bi-ideal B_1 and B_2 of S . The set of bi-ideals of S is totally ordered under inclusion if for all bi-ideals I, J either $I \subseteq J$ or $J \subseteq I$.

Theorem 1. *Each bi-ideal of an AG-groupoid S with left identity is prime if and only if it is idempotent and the set of bi-ideals of S is totally ordered under inclusion.*

Proof. Assume that each bi-ideal of S is prime. Since B^2 is an ideal and so is prime which implies that $B \subseteq B^2$, hence B is idempotent. Since $B_1 \cap B_2$ is a bi-ideal of S (where B_1 and B_2 are bi-ideals of S) and so is prime, now by Lemma 1, either $B_1 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ or $B_2 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ which further implies that either $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ or $B_2 \subseteq B_1$. Hence the set of bi-ideals of S is totally ordered under inclusion.

Conversely, assume that every bi-ideal of S is idempotent and the set of bi-ideals of S is totally ordered under inclusion. Let B_1, B_2 and B be the bi-ideals of S with $B_1 B_2 \subseteq B$ and without loss of generality assume that $B_1 \subseteq B_2$. Since B_1 is an idempotent, so $B_1 = B_1 B_1 \subseteq B_1 B_2 \subseteq B$ implies that $B_1 \subseteq B$ and hence each bi-ideal of S is prime. □

A bi-ideal B of an AG-groupoid S is called strongly irreducible bi-ideal if $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ implies either $B_1 \subseteq B$ or $B_2 \subseteq B$ for every bi-ideal B_1 and B_2 of S .

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of all bi-ideals of an AG-groupoid S with zero and Ω be the set of all strongly irreducible proper bi-ideals of S , then $\Gamma(\Omega) = \{O_B : B \in \mathcal{D}\}$, form a topology on the set Ω , where $O_B = \{J \in \Omega; B \not\subseteq J\}$ and $\phi : \text{bi-ideal}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega)$ preserves finite intersection and arbitrary union between the set of bi-ideals of S and open subsets of Ω .*

Proof. As $\{0\}$ is a bi-ideal of S , and 0 belongs to every bi-ideal of S , then $O_{\{0\}} = \{J \in \Omega, \{0\} \not\subseteq J\} = \{\}$, also $O_S = \{J \in \Omega, S \not\subseteq J\} = \Omega$ which is the first axiom for the topology. Let $\{O_{B_\alpha} : \alpha \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma(\Omega)$, then $\cup O_{B_\alpha} = \{J \in \Omega, B_\alpha \not\subseteq J, \text{ for some } \alpha \in I\} = \{J \in \Omega, \langle \cup B_\alpha \rangle \not\subseteq J\} = O_{\cup B_\alpha}$, where $\langle \cup B_\alpha \rangle$ is a bi-ideal of S generated by $\cup B_\alpha$. Let O_{B_1} and $O_{B_2} \in \Gamma(\Omega)$, if $J \in O_{B_1} \cap O_{B_2}$, then $J \in \Omega$ and $B_1 \not\subseteq J, B_2 \not\subseteq J$. Suppose $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq J$, this implies that either $B_1 \subseteq J$ or $B_2 \subseteq J$, which leads us to a contradiction. Hence $B_1 \cap B_2 \not\subseteq J$ which further implies that $J \in O_{B_1 \cap B_2}$. Thus $O_{B_1} \cap O_{B_2} \subseteq O_{B_1 \cap B_2}$. Now if $J \in O_{B_1 \cap B_2}$, then $J \in \Omega$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 \not\subseteq J$. Thus $J \in O_{B_1}$ and $J \in O_{B_2}$, therefore $J \in O_{B_1} \cap O_{B_2}$,

which implies that $O_{B_1 \cap B_2} \subseteq O_{B_1} \cap O_{B_2}$. Hence $\Gamma(\Omega)$ is the topology on Ω . Define $\phi : \text{bi-ideal}(S) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Omega)$ by $\phi(B) = O_B$, then it is easy to note that ϕ preserves finite intersection and arbitrary union. $\square$

An ideal P of an AG-groupoid S is called prime if $AB \subseteq P$ implies that either $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$ for all ideals A and B in S .

Let P_S denote the set of proper prime ideals of an AG-groupoid S absorbing 0. For an ideal I of S define the set $\Theta_I = \{ J \in P_S : I \not\subseteq J \}$ and $\Gamma(P_S) = \{ \Theta_I, I \text{ is an ideal of } S \}$.

Theorem 3. *Let S is an AG-groupoid with 0. The set $\Gamma(P_S)$ constitute a topology on the set P_S .*

Proof. Let $\Theta_{I_1}, \Theta_{I_2} \in \Gamma(P_S)$, if $J \in \Theta_{I_1} \cap \Theta_{I_2}$, then $J \in P_S$ and $I_1 \not\subseteq J$ and $I_2 \not\subseteq J$. Let $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq J$ which implies that either $I_1 \subseteq J$ or $I_2 \subseteq J$, which is contradiction. Hence $J \in \Theta_{I_1 \cap I_2}$. Similarly $\Theta_{I_1 \cap I_2} \subseteq \Theta_{I_1} \cap \Theta_{I_2}$. The remaining proof follows from Theorem 2. $\square$

The assignment $I \longrightarrow \Theta_I$ preserves finite intersection and arbitrary union between the ideal(S) and their corresponding open subsets of Θ_I .

Let P be a left ideal of an AG-groupoid S . P is called quasi-prime if for left ideals A, B of S such that $AB \subseteq P$, we have $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$.

Theorem 4. *If S is an AG-groupoid S with left identity e , then a left ideal P of S is quasi-prime if and only if $(Sa)b \subseteq P$ implies that either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.*

Proof. Let P be a left ideal of an AG-groupoid S with left identity e . Now assume that $(Sa)b \subseteq P$, then

$$\begin{aligned} S((Sa)b) &\subseteq SP \subseteq P, \text{ that is} \\ S((Sa)b) &= (Sa)(Sb) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Conversely, assume that $AB \subseteq P$ where A and B are left of S such that $A \not\subseteq P$. Then there exists $x \in A$ such that $x \notin P$. Now using the hypothesis we get $(Sx)y \subseteq (SA)B \subseteq AB \subseteq P$ for all $y \in B$. Since $x \notin P$, so by hypothesis, $y \in P$ for all $y \in B$, we obtain $B \subseteq P$. This shows that P is quasi-prime. $\square$

An AG-groupoid S is called an anti-rectangular if $a = (ba)b$, for all a, b in S . It is easy to see that $S = S^2$. In the following results for an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid S , $e \notin S$.

Proposition 4. *If A and B are the ideals of an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid S , then AB is an ideal.*

Proof. Using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)S &= (AB)(SS) = (AS)(BS) \subseteq AB, \text{ also} \\ S(AB) &= (SS)(AB) = (SA)(SB) \subseteq AB \end{aligned}$$

which shows that AB is an ideal. $\square$

Consequently, if $I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots$ and I_n are ideals of S , then

$$(\dots((I_1 I_2) I_3) \dots I_n) \text{ and } (\dots((I_1^2 I_2^2) I_3^2) \dots I_n^2)$$

are ideals of S and the set $\textcircled{S}_I$ of ideals of S form an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid.

Lemma 3. *Any subset of an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid S is left ideal if and only if it is right.*

Proof. Let I be a right ideal of S , then using (1), we get, $si = ((xs)x)i = (ix)(xs) \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that I be a left ideal of S , then using (1), we get, $is = ((yi)y)s = (sy)(yi) \in I$. $\square$

It is fact that $SI = IS$. From above Lemma we remark that, each quasi prime ideals becomes prime in an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid.

Lemma 4. *If I is an ideal of an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid S then, $H(a) = \{x \in S : (xa)x = a, \text{ for } a \in I\} \subseteq I$.*

Proof. Let $y \in H(a)$, then $y = (ya)y \in (SI)S \subseteq I$. Hence $H(a) \subseteq I$.

Also $H(a) = \{x \in S : (xa)x = x, \text{ for } a \in I\} \subseteq I$. $\square$

An ideal I of an AG-groupoid S is called an idempotent if $I^2 = I$. An AG-groupoid S is said to be fully idempotent if every ideal of S is idempotent.

Proposition 5. *If S is an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid and A, B are ideals of S , then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) S is fully idempotent,
- (ii) $A \cap B = AB$, and
- (iii) the ideals of S form a semilattice $(L_S, \wedge)$ where $A \wedge B = AB$.

The proof follows from Proposition 3.

The set of ideals of S is totally ordered under inclusion if for all ideals I, J either $I \subseteq J$ or $J \subseteq I$ and denoted by $\text{ideal}(S)$.

Theorem 5. *Every ideal of an anti-rectangular AG-groupoid S is prime if and only if it is idempotent and $\text{ideal}(S)$ is totally ordered under inclusion.*

The proof follows from Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahsen Javed and Liu Zhonghui, Strongly idempotent seminearrings and their prime ideals paces, G. Saad and M. J. Thomson (eds), Nearings and K-Loops, 1997, 151 – 166.
- [2] Kazim, M. A and M. Naseeruddin, On almost-semigroups, The Alig Bull. Math., 2 (1972), 1 – 7.
- [3] Protic, P. V and M. Bozinovic, Some congruences on an AG**-groupoid, Algebra Logic and Discrete Mathematics, 14 – 16 (1995), 879 – 886.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUAID-I-AZAM UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: qmushtaq@isb.apollo.net.pk

E-mail address: madadmath@yahoo.com